## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

In the Matter of the Application of MAMARONECK ICES INC. and SCOTT ROSENBERG,

**DECISION & ORDER** 

Petitioners,

For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules,

Index No.: 2546-16

-against-

THE VILLAGE OF MAMARONECK, VILLAGE OF MAMARONECK ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, DAN GRAY, in his capacity as BUILDING INSPECTOR OF THE VILLAGE OF MAMARONECK, SUSAN FISHER, CHRIS FISHER, STEPHANIE FIGLIOMENI, JOHN FIGLIOMENI, KAREN FRANCELLA, ANTHONY FRANCELLA, MARIA MAFFEL, GAETANO MAFFEL, MARIA PROUDIAN and JOHN GARFUFFI,

Respondents.	Kes	pond	ients	•
--------------	-----	------	-------	---

## ZAMBELLI, A.J.S.C.

The following papers numbered 1-9 read on this application for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction in this Article 78 proceeding:

## PAPERS NUMBERED

Order to show Cause, Verified Petition	
Petitioners' Memorandum of Law	3
Figliomeni Affidavit in Opposition	4
Gray Affidavit in Opposition	5
Village Respondent' Memorandum of Law in Opposition	6

-1-

## PAPERS NUMBERED

Petitioners' Reply Affirmation Petitioners' Reply Memorandum of Law Exhibits 7 8 9

Upon the foregoing papers it is ordered that:

The application for a temporary restraining order ("TRO") and a preliminary injunction: 1) staying the Resolution dated July 18, 2016 and filed on July 25, 2016, which imposed certain conditions with respect to the operation of Ralph Italian Ices being operated by Petitioner at the property located at 946 East Post Road, Mamaroneck, New York and; 2) "enjoining Respondents the Village and Building Inspector, from requiring Petitioner to comply with the conditions in the Resolution or otherwise limiting the hours of operation of Ralph's Italian Ices" pending determination of the underlying proceeding is denied.

Temporary restraining orders and preliminary injunctions are drastic remedies which should be used sparingly (see, Kutter v. Cuomo, 147 A.D.2d 215 (3<sup>rd</sup> Dept. 1989), aff'd, 75 N.Y.2d 596 (1990)). To obtain a temporary restraining order, therefore, it is Petitioners' burden to demonstrate: (1) irreparable injury absent the grant of the injunction; (2) a likelihood of success on the merits; and; (3) that the balance of equities lies in movant's' favor (see, Bailey v. Ossi Sport Club, Inc., 71 A.D.3d 1069 (2d Dept. 2010); Matter of Wheaton/TMW Fourth Ave., LP v. New York City Dept. of Buildings., 65 A.D.3d 1051 (2d Dept. 2009)).

Petitioners' have failed to show irreparable harm. It is well established that irreparable injury, for purposes of an injunction, means an injury for which money

damages are insufficient (Di Fabio v. Omnipoint Communications, Inc., 66 A.D.3d 635 (2d Dept. 2009); Matter of Walsh v. Design Concepts, Ltd, 221 A.D.2d 454 (2d Dept. 1995)). As for the claim that the schedule set forth in the Resolution for the relevant filings will cause Petitioners injury, the option to request an extension makes this claim speculative (see, Golden v. Steam Heat, Inc., 216 A.D.2d 440 (2d Dept. 1995)). Equally unavailing is Petitioners' implication that the costs of preparing and filing the Special Permit application and site development plan and the enforcement of the limitations on late night operation hours might eventually result in financial losses that necessitate the abandonment of the business (see, Rockland Development Associates v. Village of Hillburn, 172 A.D.2d 978 (3d Dept. 1991)). Accordingly, Petitioners have not proven damages that demonstrate irreparable harm in the absence of a TRO injunction (see, 1659 Ralph Ave. Laundromat Corp.v. Ben David Enters, 307 A.D.2d 288 (2d Dept. 2003)).

In addition, Petitioners' claim that the equities in this proceeding favor their position is rejected. There is nothing to indicate that the denial of an injunction would result in any hardship to Petitioners outweighing that which would be sustained by Respondents in the event that such relief was granted (see, Rockland Development Associates v. Village of Hillburn, supra). Even assuming that Petitioners are likely to succeed on the merits, therefore, the injunction is denied as Petitioners failed to establish irreparable injury and that the balance of equities fall in their favor as is required to warrant the grant of injunctive relief.

The failure to establish irreparable harm and the balance of equities in their favor sufficient to obtain a TRO is also fatal to the application for a preliminary injunction (Wheaton/TMW Fourth Ave., LP v. New York City Dept. Of Buildings, 65 A.D.3d 1051,

1052 (2d Dept. 2009); Matter of Related Properties, Inc. v. Town Bd. of Town / Village of Harrison, 22 A.D.3d 587, 590 (2d Dept. 2005)). A party seeking such an injunction must establish a clear right to that relief under the law and the undisputed facts (<u>Id</u>.).

Accordingly, given that injunctive relief is a drastic remedy which should be issued cautlously (Matter of Related Properties, Inc. v. Town Bd. of Town / Village of Harrison, supra at 590), under the circumstances of this case, the Court declines to issue a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction in this matter.

This Decision constitutes the Order of the Court.

Dated:

White Plains, New York August 9, 2016

BÁRBARA & ZAMBELL

A.J.S.C.

Lester D. Steinman, Esq.
Attorneys for Respondent Village of Mamaroneck
Zoning Board of Appeals
McCarthy Fingar, LLP
11 Martine Avenue, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor
White Plains, New York 10606-1934

Eric Gordon, Esq. Keane & Beane, P.C. Attorney for Petitioners 445 Hamilton Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor White Plains, New York 10601

Patricla Gurahian, Esq McCullough Goldberger & Staudt, LLP Attorneys for Respondent Village of Mamaroneck and Dan Gray, in his capacity as Building Inspector 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 340 White Plains, New York 10605

-4-

Chris and Susan Fisher 132 Frank Avenue Mamaroneck, NY 10543

John and Stephanie Figliomeni 1105 Jensen Avenue Mamaroneck, NY 10543

Anthony and Karen Francella 1008 Keeler Avenue Mamaroneck, NY 10543

Gaetano and Maria Maffei 111 Frank Avenue Mamaroneck, NY 10543

Maria Proudian 226 Frank Avenue Mamaroneck, NY 10543

John Garfuffi 1020 Keeler Avenue Mamaroneck, NY 10543

Nancy Barry, Esq. Chief Clerk